

THE MANUFACTURER'S D2C TRANSITION: THE ROLE OF PRODUCT UNIQUENESS, VISUAL IDENTITY, AND DIGITAL CONVENIENCE IN BUILDING BRAND EQUITY

Dr. Sivakami R¹, Suddharshan M V²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Post Graduate Studies, School of Commerce, Mount Carmel College, Autonomous, Bengaluru. Email ID: sivakamir@mccblr.edu.in

²M. Com – International Business, Department of Post Graduate Studies, School of Commerce, Mount Carmel College, Autonomous, Bengaluru. Email ID: suddharshanveeramuthu03@gmail.com

Abstract—Digital commerce has experienced rapid growth, which has led traditional manufacturers to adopt Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) operations as a means to build direct customer relationships and improve their market position. The D2C model provides businesses with strategic benefits, but it creates particular difficulties that hinder them from building brand equity based on their existing manufacturing heritage. The research study analyzes how three vital factors Product Uniqueness Visual Identity Digital Convenience affect brand equity during D2C transitions. A quantitative research design was used to gather primary data from 112 participants through a structured survey. The researchers applied Multiple Linear Regression analysis to evaluate how product uniqueness and visual identity predictions created brand differentiation. The study results produced a significant model which showed an F value of 33.322 and a p value of 0.000, while the model showed an R2 value of 0.379, which explained 37.9% of brand differentiation. Product Uniqueness emerged as the main factor driving our results with a standardized coefficient of 0.389 while Visual Identity ranked second with a value of 0.339. Additionally, Spearman's Rank Correlation was applied to assess how digital convenience related to consumer outcomes in a nonparametric way. The analysis showed that digital convenience had a strong positive relationship which produced a correlation of $\rho = 0.521$ ($p = 0.000$) with consumer trust and brand equity. The results of this study provide manufacturers with a data-driven pathway to manage their D2C operations because brand equity emerged as a measurable result of their unified digital strategy which focused on delivering unique value through smooth user experiences.

Keywords: D2C Transition, Brand Equity, Product Uniqueness, Visual Identity, Digital Convenience.

1. INTRODUCTION

The modern day business environment is experiencing a paradigm shift due to the growing tendency of traditional manufacturers to directly access their end consumers without having to go through the intermediary retailers. This shift of the Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) is imposed by the speedy maturation of e-commerce ecosystems and the fundamental shift in consumer expectations according to which buyers are now interested in authenticity and direct interaction with the production origin (Tripathi, 2024)ⁱ. This growth is likely to improve with the rapid development of new-age logistics, in which the number of Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) shipments is estimated to reach 2.5 billion by 2030 (IBEF, 2025)ⁱⁱ. The digital value acceleration has compelled manufacturers to reinvent their core business models to survive in the era. This means that the shift has ceased to be a secondary channel of sales but a long-term organizational agility and market relevancy requirement (Agustian et al., 2023)ⁱⁱⁱ.

In a manufacturers case, the shift away of the supplier being a behind-the-scenes supplier to a customer-facing brand requires an overhaul in the way the manufacturer focuses on products. With the digital market being extremely competitive, and consumers being overloaded with data, innovative qualities of a product and its unique features are used as the first hook in establishing initial trust (Norskov et al., 2015)^{iv}. Studies have shown that emotional attachment of a consumer towards a brand is directly linked to perceived novelty and meaningfulness in the design of a product (Mugge et al., 2009)^v. Finally, in case of D2C brands, product uniqueness is their competitive shield that enables them to establish niche positions, which mass-market brands could not cover in many cases (Li et al., 2024)^{vi}.

In line with product innovation, visual identity is the so-called silent ambassador that conveys the values of a manufacturer when there is no physical storefront. Logos, color schemes, typography, among others, are the aspects in the digital age that are employed strategically to create a particular emotional reaction and build brand memory (Kohli and Suri, 2002)^{vii}. Cohesive visual brand identity is also necessary to develop a connection of brand-self which involves the consumer identifying his/her identity with the visual narrative of the brand (Escalas, 2004)^{viii}. Particularly among younger age groups, a coherent and natural visual representation on the various platforms is the threshold to gaining credibility and transitioning a buyer beyond interest to devotion (Melewar and Saunders, 1998)^{ix}.

Nevertheless, the most original and visualized brand will be useless without the digital convenience. The experience in the D2C model is essentially the product, thus, the functionality of the digital platforms and the smoothness of the buying experience are major forces of consumer satisfaction (Wolfenbarger and Gilly, 2003)^x. The concept of digital convenience, which includes such aspects as site speed, ease of navigation, and the convenience of transactions, directly influences the desire of a consumer to revisit the platform (Jiang et al., 2013)^{xi}. On the one hand, in the situation of contemporary e-commerce, perceived time efficiency and psychological effort have become important predictors of whether a consumer will become more than a single-use consumer or a loyal user (Childers et al., 2001)^{xii}.

The combination of these three dimensions including individuality, identity and convenience leads to the formation of brand equity. Brand equity is the value added on a product by a brand name that makes a functional product an object of reliability and dedication (Aaker, 1991)^{xiii}. In the case of a manufacturer who is transiting, brand equity is the final defense against price wars and threats of competition since it creates a willingness to pay a premium even in an open online market (Netemeyer et al., 2004)^{xiv}. According to research, the strength of equity is developed due to the regularity of such consumer-facing signals, which indicates that the D2C adventure is essentially a brand-building effort (Keller, 1993)^{xv}.

It is within the framework of this qualitative inquiry that this paper aims to deconstruct the interaction process between these dimensions so as to explain how manufacturers can successfully manage the complexities of the D2C journey. This paper will offer a model through which manufacturers can go beyond the selling of products to the development of brand communities by dedicating attention to the accounts and experiences of consumers (Kumar et al., 2025)^{xvi}. The synthesizers of product, identity, experience will become the defining trait of the new generation of market leaders as the lines between manufacturer and retailer continue to fade (Jean-Noel Kapferer, 2004)^{xvii}; Li et al., 2020)^{xviii}.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. **On D2C Transition and Strategy:** (McKee et al., 2023)^{xix} This study discusses the development of the D2C model of retailing and states that the COVID-19 pandemic served as a major driving force making manufacturers ignore the old distribution channels. They point out in their study that the change is motivated by the need of a manufacturer to have total control over brand representation and customer information. The paper concludes that the implementation of D2C takes a long-term investment of resources and an essential transformation in the management strategy of the company to and replace the old mindset of wholesale with a customer transformation strategy.
2. **On Product Uniqueness and Differentiation:** (Stahl et al., 2023)^{xx} discuss the role of product attributes in the competition of D2C brands with monopolistic organizations that are already in existence. According to them, product uniqueness is an important defense mechanism against competitors in a digital-first world, where it matches the product characteristics with particular customer segments, not with mass-market retailers. According to their findings, once a manufacturer manages to shift towards D2C, the perceived novelty of their unique offerings becomes the main cause of the preliminary consumer trust and brand discovery.
3. **On Visual Identity and Brand Differentiation:** (Hulten, 2011)^{xxi} The core gateway of sensory that enables a brand to cut the noise in the market and create a unique cognitive space is the visual identity. Brand experiences across multiple senses, starting with visual uniqueness, are required in establishing a unique brand soul, which is a differentiating factor between a product and its generic counterparts. In the D2C channel, where touchpoints are minimal, a consistent visual identity online and in the packaging is a proxy of quality and creates an element of mental availability and emotional connection with the targeted audience.
4. **On Digital Convenience and Customer Experience:** (Lemon & Verhoef, 2016)^{xxii} Digital convenience has become a fundamental element that determines customer experience in modern e-commerce platforms. This research demonstrates that customers create their journey through digital platforms by needing all interface elements to operate at minimal mental demand, which creates positive user experiences. High digital

The Manufacturer's D2C Transition: The Role of Product Uniqueness, Visual Identity, and Digital Convenience in Building Brand Equity

convenience on a platform enables customers to access information with less effort while understanding brand value, which leads to increased customer loyalty and more frequent purchases.

5. **On Building Brand Equity: (Keller & Lehmann, 2006)^{xxiii}** The findings of this paper indicate that D2C manufacturers build equity through "data-driven intimacy." The D2C model gives manufacturers control over customer data because it breaks from the traditional model, which gives retailers customer ownership. The research shows that brand equity measurement in digital times relies on "Customer Lifetime Value" (CLV) as its metric. Manufacturers establish a brand connection through their products because they combine unique features with high digital accessibility. The study shows that D2C brand equity exceeds wholesale brand equity because D2C brands function as "service providers" instead of "commodity producers."

Current research primarily focuses on D2C commerce because its growth has increased, but they focus on digital-native startups instead of investigating how existing manufacturers make their transitions. The research needs better qualitative data because it fails to show how B2B suppliers transform their industrial identity into a consumer-facing brand. The existing research does not study how three elements - product uniqueness, visual identity, and digital convenience work together to establish brand equity. The study investigates how manufacturers develop direct consumer trust through their use of narrative perceptions to skip traditional retail channels.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze how product uniqueness and visual identity drive brand differentiation in the D2C transition.
2. To evaluate how digital convenience builds consumer trust and brand equity in direct-to-consumer models.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology for this study uses a quantitative research design which combines descriptive research with causal research to study how D2C transitions affect brand equity. The study collected primary data through non-probability convenience sampling from 112 respondents who had experience with Direct-to-Consumer platforms. The research instrument consisted of a structured online questionnaire which included 32 statements that participants rated using a 5-point Likert scale which ranged from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree." The first objective used Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) to assess how well Product Uniqueness and Visual Identity could predict Brand Differentiation. The second objective used Spearman's Rank Correlation (ρ) to measure how Digital Convenience affects Consumer Trust and Brand Equity in a continuous relationship which the non-parametric method successfully analyzed using ordinal survey data.

5. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Manufacturers who adopt Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) business models face difficulties when they attempt to transform their operations from "behind-the-scenes" supplier roles into consumer-facing brand development. The core problem exists because legacy manufacturers lack a unique Visual Identity which they need to create Product Uniqueness for establishing market differentiation in the crowded digital space. The entire process of manufacturing high-quality products fails to create Digital Convenience because technical difficulties during online purchases cause Consumer Trust to decline which results in decreased Brand Equity. The study investigates how product innovation and brand aesthetics function as predictors of differentiation between both elements together with their relationship to digital ease which affects brand value across time. The manufacturer transition process needs these insights because manufacturers will waste resources while their customer acquisition efforts will fail without proper guidance.

6. HYPOTHESES

Hypothesis 1

- **H₀₁ (Null):** Product Uniqueness and Visual Identity do not significantly predict Brand Differentiation in the D2C transition.
- **H_{a1} (Alternate):** Product Uniqueness and Visual Identity significantly predict Brand Differentiation in the D2C transition.

Hypothesis 2

- **H₂ (Null):** There is no significant correlation between Digital Convenience and the building of consumer trust and brand equity.
- **H_{a2} (Alternate):** There is a significant positive correlation between Digital Convenience and the building of consumer trust and brand equity.

7. RESULTS AND FINDINGS

1. To analyze how product uniqueness and visual identity drive brand differentiation in the D2C transition.

H_{a1} (Alternate): Product Uniqueness and Visual Identity significantly predict Brand Differentiation in the D2C transition.

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.616 ^a	.379	.368	.66822

a. Predictors: (Constant), IV2, IV1

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	29.758	2	14.879	33.322	.000 ^b
	Residual	48.671	109	.447		
	Total	78.429	111			

a. Dependent Variable: DV

b. Predictors: (Constant), IV2, IV1

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.133	.336		3.376	.001
	IV1	.385	.082	.389	4.661	.000
	IV2	.334	.082	.339	4.053	.000

a. Dependent Variable: DV

INTERPRETATION

The Multiple Linear Regression model produced an R² value of 0.379 which shows that 37.9% of the variance in Brand Differentiation is explained by these two predictors. The ANOVA test results (F = 33.322, p = 0.000) prove that the model demonstrates statistical significance. The researchers discovered that both independent variables functioned as significant positive predictors with a statistical value of p less than 0.05. Product Uniqueness ($\beta = 0.389$) functions as the main driving force while Visual Identity ($\beta = 0.339$) acts as the secondary influence. We accepted the alternative hypothesis (H_{a1}) because both predictors showed significant values of 0.000. The findings demonstrate that manufacturers

The Manufacturer's D2C Transition: The Role of Product Uniqueness, Visual Identity, and Digital Convenience in Building Brand Equity

use a mix of product development and visual storytelling to create a unique brand identity which separates them from standard retail shops.

2. To evaluate how digital convenience builds consumer trust and brand equity in direct-to-consumer models.

H_{a2} (Alternate): There is a significant positive correlation between Digital Convenience and the building of consumer trust and brand equity.

Correlations				
			IV	DV
Spearman's rho	IV	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.521**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000
		N	112	112
	DV	Correlation Coefficient	.521**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.
		N	112	112

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

INTERPRETATION

The Spearman's Rank Correlation test showed a correlation coefficient value of $\rho = 0.521$ which demonstrates that the two variables have a moderate to strong positive connection between them. The result shows high statistical significance because it reached the 0.01 level which results in a value of 0.000 for the 112 cases that were tested. The test results show that we need to accept alternate hypothesis H_{a2}. The research shows that when online shopping becomes easier to navigate and checkout products quickly customers develop greater trust and value toward direct-to-consumer D2C brands.

8. CONCLUSION

The Direct-to-Consumer (D2C) model that a traditional manufacturer adopts represents a complete transformation of their business strategy which involves more than just modifying their distribution network. The research demonstrates that sustainable brand equity development in competitive D2C markets requires companies to merge their product uniqueness with visual identity and digital convenience through research-based connections. The combination of product innovation with a unique visual identity enables customers to shop directly from brands instead of using conventional retail outlets but the digital experience's seamless nature establishes a permanent connection between customers and brands. The research demonstrates that legacy manufacturers face their biggest challenge when they need to change from their existing production methods to adopt consumer-focused approaches together with new technologies.

The data collected from 112 respondents shows that digital convenience has developed into an essential factor which shapes both brand trust and brand value. The research findings demonstrate a strong positive relationship between digital journey accessibility and the brand value that consumers assign to a specific brand with a Spearman's Rho value of 0.521 and a significance level of $p = 0.000$. The D2C ecosystem requires service interfaces to maintain their connection with physical products because any technical issues or online shopping difficulties will harm the manufacturer's brand reputation. Manufacturers who control the complete customer experience can use their technical knowledge to create authentic and transparent business operations which intermediaries cannot duplicate.

The Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) analysis demonstrates that product uniqueness and visual identity together explain 37.9% of brand differentiation. Product innovation together with its distinctiveness generates consumer interest according to the finding that product innovation which drives consumer interest has a beta value of 0.389 whereas visual identity through its cohesive design elements functions as a vital secondary factor which predicts consumer interest with a beta value of 0.339. Visual identity serves as the essential link between two different points because it transforms basic industrial abilities into a premium brand identity which modern digital-native consumers can understand. The study

demonstrates that manufacturers need more than technical specifications because they must become "storytellers" who showcase their value through every visual element.

The D2C transition succeeds through a complex process which requires manufacturers to establish their brand identity while managing their market presence. The combination of their products' physical distinctiveness and advanced digital capabilities with operational efficiency enables manufacturers to establish price protection which strengthens their brand equity. The upcoming market leaders will depend on their capacity to deliver consistent high-quality brand experiences throughout all direct contact points as production and retail functions keep merging. The D2C model enables traditional manufacturers to recover their brand identity while creating a brand that competes worldwide while remaining relevant to local markets.

9. IMPLICATIONS

1. Theoretical Implications

The Resource-Based View (RBV) field receives new research findings which show that brand-building abilities function as vital production capabilities for manufacturing companies during their transformation process. The Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) results show that Product Uniqueness and Visual Identity together explain 37.9% of variance in brand differentiation which shifts academic research from manufacturing efficiency assessment to brand aesthetics and innovation study. The study advances Service-Dominant (S-D) Logic by using Spearman's Correlation ($\rho = 0.521$) to demonstrate that brand equity functions as a jointly developed element which uses digital accessibility to connect physical product quality with consumer trust.

2. Managerial Implications

- **Prioritize Innovation:** The management team must identify Product Uniqueness as the key element that distinguishes products from their competitors ($\beta = 0.389$). The research shows that R&D should develop unique product features which address consumer needs.
- **Invest in Aesthetics:** There is a significant impact of Visual Identity ($\beta = 0.339$) which creates emotional connections with customers.
- **Operational Ease:** The research shows that Digital Convenience has a strong relationship with Trust and Equity which means that businesses need to create a seamless online shopping experience to protect their brand value.

3. Expanded Strategic Implications

The process of becoming a brand owner from being a manufacturer demands complete organizational transformation which requires new operational methods that depend on data-driven insights. The strategic framework requires manufacturers to build internal digital skills because the alternate hypotheses were accepted as valid. Through their ownership of customer data which customers create when using digital convenience features manufacturers can transition to a production system that operates based on actual customer demand instead of responding to market trends. The quantitative findings demonstrate that "Just-in-Time" innovation receives guidance through real-time digital feedback which enables organizations to maintain their product identity with greater accuracy than traditional retail reports allow.

10. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

While the study successfully validated its hypotheses, it is found that the results could only be applied to specific situations because of numerous limitations which restrict the extension of the findings. The sample size of 112 valid responses, while sufficient for achieving statistical significance ($p = 0.000$), is relatively small for broad generalization across diverse manufacturing sectors. The research results will be affected by selection bias because non-probability convenience sampling methods were used and 5-point Likert Scale data requires respondents to interpret it according to their personal judgment. The regression model explained 37.9% of the variance ($R^2 = 0.379$) in brand differentiation because more than 60% of the construct depended on external factors which this study did not examine, including competitive pricing and social proof.

11. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research should expand its sample size beyond 300 participants because this will improve the predictive accuracy of the Multiple Linear Regression model. Longitudinal studies would be particularly useful because they enable researchers to observe how Consumer Trust and Brand Equity develop throughout a legacy manufacturer's D2C business growth. Researchers should also consider conducting comparative industry analyses to determine if the dominance of

The Manufacturer's D2C Transition: The Role of Product Uniqueness, Visual Identity, and Digital Convenience in Building Brand Equity

Product Uniqueness remains consistent across different product categories like FMCG or luxury goods. The use of mediating variables together with a mixed-methods approach will enable researchers to obtain better qualitative insights into Digital Convenience's strong link with long-term brand loyalty.

REFERENCES

- ⁱ Tripathi, R. (2024, September 25). *Top D2C Manufacturing Tech Trends for 2025*. Griddynamics.com; Grid Dynamics. <https://www.griddynamics.com/blog/d2c-manufacturing-technology-trends>
- ⁱⁱ IBEF. (2025). *Retail Industry in India: Overview of Retail Sector, Market Size, Growth...IBEF*. India Brand Equity Foundation. <https://www.ibef.org/industry/retail-india>
- ⁱⁱⁱ Agustian, K., Mubarak, E. S., Zen, A., & Malik, A. J. (2023, October 3). *The Impact of Digital Transformation on Business Models and Competitive Advantage*. ResearchGate; PT. Literasi Sains Nusantara. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374425876_The_Impact_of_Digital_Transformation_on_Business_Models_and_Competitive_Advantage
- ^{iv} Nørskov, S., Chrysochou, P., & Milenkova, M. (2015). The impact of product innovation attributes on brand equity. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 32(4), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jcm-10-2014-1198>
- ^v Mugge, R., Schoormans, J. P. L., & Schifferstein, H. N. J. (2009). Emotional bonding with personalised products. *Journal of Engineering Design*, 20(5), 467–476. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544820802698550>
- ^{vi} Li, X., Lim, M.-F., Ramlee, A. N. A., & Chekima, B. (2024). Brand Authenticity: A 21-Year Bibliometric Review and Future Outlook. *Sage Open*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241268847>
- ^{vii} Kohli, C., & Suri, R. (2002). Creating effective logos: Insights from theory and practice. *Business Horizons*, 45(3), 58–64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-6813\(02\)00203-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-6813(02)00203-3)
- ^{viii} Escalas, J. E. (2004). Narrative Processing: Building Consumer Connections to Brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 14(1-2), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1401&2_19
- ^{ix} Melewar, T. C., & Saunders, J. (1998). Global corporate visual identity systems. *International Marketing Review*, 15(4), 291–308. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02651339810227560>
- ^x Wolfenbarger, M., & Gilly, M. C. (2003). eTailQ: dimensionalizing, measuring and predictingetail quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 79(3), 183–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359\(03\)00034-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359(03)00034-4)
- ^{xi} Jiang, L. (Alice), Yang, Z., & Jun, M. (2013). Measuring consumer perceptions of online shopping convenience. *Journal of Service Management*, 24(2), 191–214. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231311323962>
- ^{xii} Childers, T. L., Carr, C. L., Peck, J., & Carson, S. (2001). Hedonic and utilitarian motivations for online retail shopping behavior. *Journal of Retailing*, 77(4), 511–535. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359\(01\)00056-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4359(01)00056-2)
- ^{xiii} Aaker, D. A. (1996). *Measuring Brand Equity across Products and Markets*. Scirp.org. <https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=1623235>
- ^{xiv} Netemeyer, R. G., Krishnan, B., Pullig, C., Wang, G., Yagci, M., Dean, D., Ricks, J., & Wirth, F. (2004). Developing and validating measures of facets of customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Business Research*, 57(2), 209–224. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0148-2963\(01\)00303-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0148-2963(01)00303-4)
- ^{xv} Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, Measuring, and Managing Customer-Based Brand Equity. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101>
- ^{xvi} Kumar, S., Babu, D. S., Kumar, K. S., & Kumar, V. (2025). Building brands in a digital-first world: strategies and challenges for Indian D2C startups. *Vascular and Endovascular Review*, 8(6), 210–225. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397431711_Building_brands_in_a_digital-first_world_strategies_and_challenges_for_Indian_D2C_startups
- ^{xvii} Jean-Noël Kapferer. (2004). *The New Strategic Brand Management*. ResearchGate; unknown. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281803401_The_New_Strategic_Brand_Management
- ^{xviii} Li, F., Larimo, J., & Leonidou, L. C. (2020). Social media marketing strategy: definition, conceptualization, taxonomy, validation, and future agenda. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 49(1), 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-020-00733-3>

- ^{xix} McKee, S., Sands, S., Pallant, J. I., & Cohen, J. (2023). The evolving direct-to-consumer retail model: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 47(6), 2816–2842. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12972>
- ^{xx} Stahl, L., Dardeau, E., & Joubert, P. (2023). *The role of customer-based brand equity in the direct-to-consumer business model*. Lub.lu.se. <https://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/search/publication/9140967>
- ^{xxi} Hultén, B. (2011). Sensory marketing: the multi-sensory brand-experience concept. *European Business Review*, 23(3), 256–273. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09555341111130245>
- ^{xxii} Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding Customer Experience Throughout the Customer Journey. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 69–96. Sagepub. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420>
- ^{xxiii} Keller, K. L., & Lehmann, D. R. (2006). Brands and Branding: Research Findings and Future Priorities. *Marketing Science*, 25(6), 740–759. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mksc.1050.0153>
