International Journal of Innovative Research in Management Studies (IJIRMS)
Volume 7, Issue 9, November 2025. pp.52-62.

GREEN INNOVATION AND ITS IMPACT ON ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY: A REVIEW ANALYSIS

Shaik Shabana Parveen[1], Dr. B. Sreedhar Reddy[2], Prof. V. Tulasi Das[3]

[1]*Research Scholar, Dept. of MBA-HRM, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur-522510, A.P.*

Email: shaikshabana215@gmail.com

[2]*Guest Faculty, Dept. of MBA (Hospital Administration) Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur.*
Email: sreedharhrmphd@gmail.com

[3]*PhD Supervisor, Professor, Dept. of MBA-HRM, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, A.P.*
Email: chinmaitulasi@gmail.com

Abstract—*The rising pressure on climatic strain and environmental destruction at the international level has compelled companies and governments to internalize sustainability paradigms like the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations. Organizations incorporate green knowledge, culture, and strategic orientation in their process both due to compliance and also for competitive advantages provided by reputation increase, resource effectiveness and stakeholder satisfaction. Green innovation is all about continued creation of new technologies and processes that reduce carbon footprints, resource usage, and waste, and that spur cost cutting and differentiate products from their rivals in the marketplace. Green learning and green culture investments by organizations build their capabilities for introducing such innovations, and these will raise productivity, cost effectiveness, and competitiveness in fast-changing business landscape. Keeping above in view the research focus on to review the green innovation and its impact on organizational sustainability with emphasis on a specific industry. The findings are derived and suggestions are recommended.*

Keywords: *Environmental Destruction, Sustainable, Green Innovations, Competitive Advantage*.

Introduction

Green innovation is an organizational form that embeds green technologies, systems and practices in product design, process of manufacture and administration to ensure sustainable growth and also environmental gains (Chen et al., 2006; Wang, 2021). With regard to organizational sustainability, green innovation is a multifunctional approach that transforms the operations of firms when these firms address green issues and regulation (Hakim, 2020; Qin, 2025).

The rising pressure on climatic strain and environmental destruction at the international level has compelled companies and governments to internalize sustainability paradigms like the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) of the United Nations (Wang, 2021). Organizations incorporate green knowledge, culture, and strategic orientation in their process both due to compliance and also for competitive advantages provided by reputation increase, resource effectiveness and stakeholder satisfaction (Küçükoglu & Pinar, 2015; Wang, 2021). This reflects shift from the avoidance of harm towards active value creation for business and society (Hakim, 2020). Organizations are initiating this process with green HRM (Tulasi Das V, Sreedhar Reddy B, 2016). Green HRM acts as a torch bearer in this transformation process (Tulasi Das V, Sreedhar Reddy B, 2017). Green HRM has positive impact on green innovation (Tulasi Das V, Sreelakshmi A, 2021).

Green innovation is all about continued creation of new technologies and processes that reduce carbon footprints, resource usage, and waste, and that spur cost cutting and differentiate products from their rivals in the marketplace (Chen et al., 2006; Hakim, 2020). Green learning and green culture investments by organizations build their capabilities for introducing such innovations, and these will raise productivity, cost effectiveness, and competitiveness in fast-changing business landscape (Soewarno et al., 2024; Qin, 2025). Thus, the green innovation is environmental requirement and acting in its own right as driver for organization's long-term sustainable development process (Wang, 2021).

Green innovation uptake is linked to technological propensity, organizational and environmental consciousness in the achievement of sustainable performance success (Soewarno et al., 2024; Wang, 2021). Green technological innovation is seen to reinforce environment, social and economy dimension performance in a positive way, and it could aid firms in competition to raise their stature as market leaders in sustainability (Qin, 2025; Hakim, 2020).

Finally, green innovation is the key to the modern sustainable organization. It is in incorporating environmental issues in both strategy and operations that organizations will manage to remain competitive at the Day level in spite of environmental and social accountability. Firms enhancing green innovation capabilities in a proactive manner will likely survive in the fast-changing environment and make significant contributions towards sustainable global growth (Chen et al., 2006; Wang, 2021; Qin, 2025).

Nature and scope of the research

This study will explore green innovation and organizational sustainability in an effort to comprehend green innovation practice nature and green innovation practice implications for organizational sustainable development. This article is a systematic review that systematically reviews existing works, green innovation and sustainability performance theories and empirical research (Bett, 2024). The scope also includes various green innovations (organizational, managerial and technological), their effects on environmental, economic and social performance across various industrial sectors (Wang, 2021A).

Based on these beyond-the-organizational boundaries frameworks such as diffusion of innovations, institutional theory and the triple bottom line, Bett (2024) offers a three theoretical perspectives analysis of three contrasting narratives concerning adoption, institutionalization and balanced sustainability that green innovations result into. It examines factors such as technology readiness, organizational commitment, stakeholder pressures and regulation that are determinant as to the adoption of new green practices (Wang, 2021). This offers an overall insight into how sustainability can be most effectively incorporated into organizational practice.

Empirically, the paper aggregates qualitative and quantitative evidences for positive correlation of green innovation and companies' performance in sustainable resource use, waste minimisation, competitive advantage (Wang, 2021; Ahmed, 2023). It also shows that deficiencies revealed in the current scholarship include inter-standards gap in measurement, context limitation in addition to neglecting dimensions of social innovation. Study objective thus is to plug such gap in summarizing past findings and recommend implications for scholarship and practice (Bett, 2024).

The analysis is broad, covering various sectors and geographical settings, but specifically in terms of alignment of green innovation with sustainable global ambitions like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. It appeals to various actors like government, businesses and civil society by arguing that only cohesively will we see policy support for green innovation dissemination and sustainable transformation (Bett, 2024; Wang, 2021). This broad-frame working makes it applicable for political leaders, practitioners and scholars who seek to refine sustainable business models.

Lastly, the nature of research is exploratory and evaluative that tries to broaden insight on green innovation for organizational sustainability. It involves theory and empirical progress alongside applications in several sectors, having a strong foundation in theory for the development of governance mechanism in supporting organizations in learning from environmental pressures and reaping economic and social benefits (Bett, 2024; Wang, 2021; Ahmed, 2023).

Significance of the research

The research significance derives from its focus on how green innovation is the key driver for sustainable development and organizational performance in the modern world where the environment is the issue at hand. The paper sought to explore the relationship between green knowledge management, green innovation and sustainable corporate performance and to put focus on the importance of environmental results, resource intensity and long-term monetary soundness due to green innovation (Ahmed, 2023; Wang, 2021). It draws attention to the fact that companies that adopt green innovation/technology practices are able to do more than just keep pace with stringent environmental regulations, but it is capable of driving competitive advantage in reputational and stakeholder satisfaction terms, and in efficiencies (Bett, 2024).

Wang, 2021) In addition, the results of this research show that green organizational culture boosts positive influences of green innovation and green knowledge management, green organization culture cultivation for organizations seeking to establish sustainability objectives is thus essential**.** The benefits will spill over to other domains of sustainable development globally by supporting the equitable use of green technologies and reduction in waste, pollution and support for apposite resources saving (Nzomo et al., 2025). Therefore, this study can offer a holistic perspective with which to

better inform policymakers, corporations and scholars how to successfully promote green innovation and green integration.

The moderation roles of firm size, industry type, and organisational commitment also provide practical contribution to the contextualised green innovation strategy for different types of organisations and the relevance or applicability of green innovation across industries (Bataineh, 2024). This renders the study an important contribution to a comprehension and the acceleration of transition toward sustainable business model.

Literature review

Asiedu (2025):

Asiedu (2011) investigated the effect of green dynamic capabilities on sustainability performance in manufacturing companies operating in Ghana. Using data from 325 firms, structural equation modelling was used to analyse the study. The results revealed that green dynamic capabilities had a positive effect on the product dimension of green innovation and the process dimension of green innovation. However, only process innovation was found to make a significant positive contribution to all three dimensions of sustainability performance. The mediating role of green innovation and moderating role of green sustainability pressure in improving sustainability performance were also observed.

Liu (2025):

Liu investigated the impact of green innovation on the sustainable development of firms. Two kinds of green innovations were found in the study: Process innovation, influencing energy efficiency and the recycling process; Entrepreneurial recycling innovation which enables economy circulatory for waste products. Both forms of innovation can bring in more consumers and enhance profitability under certain circumstances, the study found. Furthermore, it was also found that when the remanufacturing cost benefit is relatively small, process innovation has a higher profit than recycling innovation.

Omonijo (2025):

Omonijo's study investigated the moderating effect of green operational innovation on the association between ESG activities, technological innovation and corporate sustainability. The research gathered the information of 488 subject for analysis using SPSS and AMOS tools. It found that green operational innovation significantly moderated the relationships between ESG activities, technology innovation and corporate sustainability. This implies that incorporating green operational innovation into business-level strategies could help improve ESG performance and technological innovations to enable Sustainable Development gains.

Liu, Liu, & Feng (2024):

Ursprung et al. The impact of Green innovations substance and strategy on performance: An analysis of the European Pulp and Paper industry. The pulp and paper industry has played a major role in providing the essential product to meet commercial, societal and personal needs. Based on a cross-sectional sample of Chinese A-share listed companies spanning from 2010 to 2021, the authors used a two-way fixed-effects model. It was found that green innovations in substance have a positive effect on financial and environmental performance. Strategic green innovations, on the other hand, were found inversely associated with environmental performance indicating a strategic trade-off between strategic objectives and environmental outcomes. This study highlights the necessity of integrating green innovation strategies with firm objectives in order to attain sustainable performance.

Ahmed et al. (2023):

Ahmed et al researched the impact of green innovation on environmental and organizational performance. They showed that green product and process innovations are important drivers of environmental performance. The moderating effect of HR practices and management commitment on the association green innovation – performance was also highlighted in this study. This suggests that a strong organizational green innovation commitment stimulates an attitude favorable to ecological sustainability. Such commitment is essential for implementing green initiatives successfully.

Chen (2015):

Chen (2015) examined the relationship between green innovation and financial benefits in Chinese manufacturing industry. This study was based on a sample of manufacturing companies, which were listed in the SSE during 2010–2014. Chen examined the environmentally benign product and process innovations. The study revealed that companies' use of green technology and sustainable practices was linked to higher environmental performance. Process innovations minimized waste, maximised use of energy and improved operational productivity. Product improvements enabled

companies to offer more sustainable products and services for sale on the market. The research pointed to a strong relationship between green innovation and economic performance. Companies that effectively included sustainability into strategic planning outperformed their competition. Upper management support was an important determinant to the effective execution of green innovation. The study also found that regulatory support and market demand stimulated firms to practise the green initiatives. Chen stressed the importance of further investments in green technology. In sum, the study found out that green innovation ensures environmental and economic sustainability of manufacturing firms.

Dangelico & Pujari (2010):

Dangelico and Pujari (2010) investigated green product innovation and its impact on the competitive advantage of the company. They focused on manufacturing and service firms in Europe which employ eco-friendly product development strategies. They studied the impact of green product innovation on compliance, brand value and customer loyalty. Results showed that organizations proactively developing green products achieved not only a response of compliance with laws, but also differentiation on the market. Customers were more and more interested in companies that offer sustainable products, improving brand identity. PF was labelled as important driver of sustainable business success and GP innovation is one component of it. The writers emphasized the need to link innovation strategies with corporate sustainability objectives. The study reiterated that integrated and collaborative processes are needed for successful development of green products. Companies who incurred the costs of R&D for green products gained an economic advantage in the long run. Organizational culture and leadership commitment also had positive effects on innovation results. Companies incorporating SD in their value chain showed greater operational effectiveness. The green product innovation is emphasized for obtaining competitive advantage in the context of sustainability-based market.

Chen, Lai, & Wen (2006):

Chen, Lai, and Wen (2006) investigated the green innovation for supply chain management. They focused on the electronics and manufacturing sectors of companies which adopted green supply chain practices. Such empirical evidence established that greening the entire supply chain enhanced operational performance. They cut down resource use, waste and production costs. Ethical supply of raw materials along with the suppliers' partnership mission was essential. Green supply chain practices helped in improving relationships between customers, suppliers and regulators which was significant as part of this study. Environmental certifications such as ISO 14001 increased credibility and access to the market. Top management support is important in promoting green orientation (Bakir and Özcümbulut, 2018). Companies with strategic environmental goals had higher sustainability performance. Training and awareness programs for employees played a vital role in the successful implementation. The research found that the green SC innovation has a positive impact on the environmental and economic performance. These sorts of developments are what allow us to be more competitive and better positions us for a real future, for the long-term org.

Geng et al. (2019):

Geng et al. (2019) studied the effect of technology and organizational factors on green innovation adoption in manufacturing firms. Their research covered companies in China and Southeast Asia looking at both product and process innovations. The results indicated that research and development investments were highly important to promote green technologies. Staff training and skill development fostered the effective adoption of green innovations. The support of top management and the culture in the organization were identified as major factors that encouraged innovation adoption. Firms that adopted greening strategies into business activity performed better environmentally but also financially. Compliance with regulations and the market demand for green products contributed to this innovation. Organizations' technological preparedness and intra-organizational knowledge sharing were also responsible for green innovations realization. Cooperation on the part of suppliers and partners helped green efforts. The research stressed the need for a long-term commitment and advance planning to ensure sustainability. Green innovation was observed to positively affect both, competitiveness and environmental responsibility.

Horbach (2008):

Horbach (2008) investigated the determinants of eco-innovations in European industries, with particular emphasis on technological and organizational factors. The investigation based its evidence on survey data collected among manufacturing establishments in several countries of the EU. Environmental standards played a major role in driving companies to adopt the environment friendly technologies. In addition, the pressure of markets for green products was another important factor for stimulating innovation. Size was a determinant of the capability to invest in R&D for green projects. These works stressed organizational capability and internal knowledge as critical enablers of eco-innovation. Working with universities, research institutions and supply chain partners supported innovative solutions. Utilization of

environmental technologies contributed to better environmental performance and minimization of compliance risks. The higher the level of reported aqute110 environmental awareness, the more apparent was this market differentiation. The paper found that the diffusion of eco-innovations is influenced by policies and external pressures. Companies that had green strategies in place ahead of the curve experienced operational efficiency gains. According to Horbach, eco-innovation is key in relation to both competitiveness and sustainability.

Lee, Park, & Choi (2012):

Dr. Lee, Park, and Choi (2012) tried to find out the mediating effect of green innovation on the relationship between CSR activities and firm performance. The study covered South Korean companies in a variety of sectors. The purpose of the study the empirical results indicated that CSR activities did not, by themselves, have a direct effect on firm performance. CSR did not have a direct impact on green innovation adoption, but rather positively affected green innovation adoption, which in turn improved financial results and environmental performance. Entrepreneurial firms with solid CRS programs utilized green innovation to achieve competitive advantage. Green product and process innovations enhanced resource efficiency, environmental performance. The significance of leadership support and the organizational culture in fostering innovation was emphasized by the study. Employee consciousness and participation were key factors in the transfer to sustainable activities. Connect CSR to other strategic objectives, such as innovation and operations – Long-term growth was realized when companies aligned their innovation efforts and operational focus with CSR. Companies that integrated these elements saw greater levels of trust among stakeholders and brand perception. The relationship between CSR and sustainable performance is mediated by green innovation, as per the investigation.

Porter & van der Linde (1995):

Inspired by the fact that stringent emissions standards may generate innovation, Porter and van der Linde (1995) formulated what is now known as the Porter Hypothesis. The theoretical implication is that efficient regulation will stimulate firms to invent improved cost and productivity. Companies who take an early adopter approach to green technology are not only meeting compliance but also benefiting from day-to-day operational efficiencies. Revolution by environmental standards may generate new product development and markets. The research emphasized that on the environment one is not necessarily purchasing a net economic cost. Instead, it can foster competitiveness through stimulating technological progress. Companies with a proactive stance toward sustainability realise long-term benefits. The authors highlighted the importance of 'management's commitment' in transforming regulatory pressure. Supplier and research institution cooperation facilitate the greening of innovation. The Porter Hypothesis is still a leading perspective for associating regulation, innovation and corporate sustainability. Companies that face environmental challenges tend to outperform their peers as regards these risks, firms that confront them tend to be more successful than those who don't. On balance, the empirical analysis highlights the possibility for complementarities between regulatory compliance and innovation-driver growth.

Objectives

- To revisit the idea of green innovation as well as its different types underpinning (i.e., green product, green process, and eco technologies).
- To examine the theoretical relationship between green innovation and firm sustainable development, including environmental, economic, and social sustainability.
- To conceptually explore the enablers and barriers of green innovation, such as policy mechanisms, corporate culture, and technological development.
- We aim to seek the strategic value of GI in long-term competitiveness and sustainable development.
- To draw on existing literature and frameworks of green innovation and sustainability, in order to contribute to theoretical knowledge for research and practice.
- To emphasize the importance of CSR and stakeholder support towards green innovation in firms.

Conceptual work

1. To deliberate on the meaning of green innovation and its various forms like green product, green process, and green technology

Green innovation is a multi-dimensional term that entails the development of green products, green processes, and green technologies for the purpose of reducing environmental impacts while improving organizational performance.

Schumpeter's theory of innovation (1934) points out that innovative products and innovative processes bolster competitive advantage, and that is the basis for green innovation research. Product innovations entail the creation of sustainable products, while process innovations entail enhancing efficiency and reducing resource intensity. Technological innovations that entail, for example, equipment that saves energy or waste-recycling technology serve sustainability functions too. Literature (Chen, 2015; Dangelico & Pujari, 2010) vindicates that companies that adopt green innovation achieve environmental and financial performance. Conceptually, it is worth noting that one should understand these forms for the purpose of strategic planning. Companies differentiate themselves in competitive markets and address their environmental obligations. Green innovation is also in alignment with international sustainability targets and regulations. Examination of these dimensions throws light on comprehensively integrating sustainable strategies in organizations. It paves the path for subsequent theory researches. Ultimately, it is beneficial to examine green innovation concepts for organizational sustainability regimes.

2. To examine the green innovation-theoretical interface with organizational sustainability, for instance, environmental, economic, and societal dimensions

The conceptual link between green innovation and organizational sustainability is based on the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) theory (Elkington, 1997), which focuses on environmental, economic, and social results. Green innovation allows companies to minimize environmental footprints through cutting emissions, minimizing waste, and conserving resources. Financially, these innovations minimize cost of production, develop market potential, and increase profitability. Socially, green innovation strengthens brand imagery, stakeholder confidence, and legitimacy of business organizations. Literature reviews (Liu et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2012) corroborate that companies that adopt green-oriented practices achieve sustainable outcomes in all dimensions.

Conceptually, green innovation serves as a mediator for organizational resources and sustainable performance. Incorporating green innovation in strategic planning ensures companies achieve both stakeholder and regulatory pressures. Companies that follow such practices achieve differentiation in competitive markets. Conceptual understanding of this link enables scholars to formulate theories of sustainability-oriented innovation. It also signifies the interlinkage of environmental responsibility, economic prosperity, and social justice. The theory analysis serves as the basis for measuring the effects of green innovation on long-run sustainability outcomes.

3. To examine major determinants and green innovation concerns from a conceptual level, such as, regulatory mechanisms, organizational culture, and technological innovation

The Resource-Based View (RBV) theory (Barney, 1991) states that innovation adoption is driven by firm-specific resources, abilities, and competencies. Regulatory compliance, green product market demand, and technological maturity push organizations towards green innovation. Organizational culture, leadership support, and worker motivation constitute essential internal drivers. High cost of implementation, resistance to innovation, and technological ambiguities constitute barriers. Literature (Horbach, 2008; Geng et al., 2019) highlights that internal and external determinants decide green innovation success. Conceptually, awareness of such barriers and motivators facilitates firms in designing adopting strategies effectively. Regulatory policies and technological advancements offer motivators and restraints that direct the path of eco-innovation decisions. Technological innovation enables companies to make their process more efficient and minimize their environmental footprint. Organizational culture influences worker behavior and innovation maturity. Recognition of barriers and motivators assists in green innovation outcome model building in theory. Eventually, this goal bridges conceptual understanding with real-world implications towards sustainable practices.

4. To discuss the strategic relevance of green innovation for sustainable long-term organizational competitiveness and growth

The Porter Hypothesis (Porter & van der Linde, 1995) provides a theoretical foundation, suggesting that environmental regulations can stimulate innovation and enhance competitiveness. Strategically, green innovation allows firms to differentiate products, optimize operations, and create market opportunities while reducing environmental impact. Empirical studies (Ahmed et al., 2023; Omonijo et al., 2025) indicate that strategically adopted green innovations improve efficiency, profitability, and stakeholder trust. Conceptually, green innovation is a lever for achieving long-term organizational sustainability. It supports resource optimization, risk mitigation, and alignment with sustainability goals. Firms that proactively integrate green innovation into their strategies can gain competitive advantage in environmentally conscious markets. Strategic adoption ensures resilience against environmental, regulatory, and market uncertainties. It strengthens the firm’s brand image and social legitimacy. Understanding the strategic importance conceptually highlights its value beyond mere regulatory compliance. It positions green innovation as a tool for continuous improvement. Ultimately, it links sustainability with strategic management for organizational success.

5. To synthesize current writings and models on green innovation and sustainability in developing guidelines for future applications and studies

Literature synthesis is in alignment with the Knowledge-Based Theory of the Firm (Grant, 1996), which highlights systematic integration of knowledge for improved innovation and strategic decision-making. Reviewing existing studies (Chen, Lai, & Wen, 2006; Dangelico & Pujari, 2010) assists in identifying conceptual frameworks, theoretical models, and research voids. Synthesizing literature facilitates an understanding of best practices for green innovation and their contribution to sustainability outcomes. It assists in gaining insight for improving future research directions and practical applications for managers. Conceptually, this process assists in integrating fragmented knowledge for a coherent perspective on green innovation strategies. It assists in identifying trends, drivers, and barriers for industries. Synthesis assists policymakers and managers in effective methods for sustainability achievement. It links theoretical foundations with empirical evidence for revealing sustainable innovation paths. Conceptually, this goal ensures that the study is in alignment with existing scholarship. It enhances theoretical rigor and assists in evidence-based recommendations. Eventually, it creates an all-encompassing perspective of green innovation and organizational sustainability.

6. To accentuate the significance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and stakeholder involvement in green innovation in organizations

Stakeholder Theory (Freeman, 1984) justifies the connection among CSR, stakeholder involvement, and green innovation. Firms that actively engage stakeholders in sustainability programs are more likely to invest in green innovation. CSR serves as the framework for managing social and environmental obligations and aligning these with strategic objectives. Literature (Lee, Park, & Choi, 2012; Liu, 2025) verifies that CSR-oriented firms use green innovation for performance improvement and stakeholder trust building. Conceptually, stakeholder involvement ensures that green innovation is in line with market demand and societal anticipation. Collaborative innovation is built when employees, customers, suppliers, and even societies are included in the process. Stakeholder involvement enhances organizational legitimacy and social image, too. CSR programs direct companies in balancing the economy, society, and the environment. Integration of CSR and green innovation is in favour of sustainable business growth. This conceptual insight highlights the ethical and strategic facets of innovation. It strengthens the value of the theory in organizational sustainability studies.

7. Constructing conceptual understanding of organizational inclusion of green innovation in strategic planning towards sustainable outcomes

Dynamic Capability Theory (Teece, Pisano, & Shuen, 1997) elucidates that companies need to construct, assimilate, and reassemble internal and external competencies when facing environmental changes. Conceptually, companies integrating green innovation in strategic planning link operations with sustainability objectives. Literature (Asiedu, 2025; Liu, 2025) indicates that companies that make green innovation a part of strategic process increase efficiency, competitiveness, and resilience. Strategic integration ensures that green practices are not mere isolated initiatives but part of organizational objectives. It also strengthens inter-department and inter-functional coordination. Conceptually, it enables companies to prepare for and deal with environmental challenges proactively. Green innovation becomes an ongoing process facilitating long-run sustainability. It bridges academic theory of dynamic capabilities with real-world strategic management. Companies develop a methodical approach for green practice implementation. It directs both research and manager decision-making in sustainability in the end creates a systematic route for organizational resilience and sustainable performance achievement.

Findings of the Study

- In the study, green innovation involves product, process and technological innovations. Innovations in products concern designing environmentally minded products whereas in the case of process innovations the aim is to be resource efficient and minimize waste. Technology advances help to save energy, recycle, and meet environmental standards. The research (Chen, 2015; Dangelico and Pujari, 2010) highlights the benefits of their adoption, which supports the improvement not only in the environmental performance but in the economic one as well. In principle, organisations need to need unite all dimensions to maximise the benefits of sustainability.
- In the theoretical review, a strong connection is found between a sense of green innovation and sustainability in relation to environmental, economic, and social aspects. Green innovations minimise pollution, maximise available resources, reduce costs of operation and maximise profits. They enhance the stakeholder trust and corporate legitimacy (Liu et al., 2024; Lee, Park, & Choi, 2012). In many ways, green innovation can be viewed as a correspondent between the abilities of firms and environmental sustainability.

- Green innovation implementation is influenced by regulatory, market, organisational and technological preparedness (Horbach, 2008; Geng et al., 2019). Conversely, barriers include high implementation costs, resistance to change and technological uncertainty. In theory, being aware of these drivers and challenges can help organisations design strategic interventions to increase positive adoption.
- Green innovation plays a vital strategic role, as it enables companies to adhere to regulations and remain operationally efficient and differentiate in the market (Porter and van der Linde, 1995; Ahmed et al., 2023). Green innovation plays a vital role not just in compliance but also in the implementation of strategic plans by organisations that handle green innovation as a long-term strategic instrument.
- Green innovation cannot operate in isolation; stakeholder engagement and CSR efforts are among the major mechanisms involved in green innovation (Freeman, 1984; Lee, P, and Choi, 2012). The more companies engage stakeholders and integrate sustainability into CSR, the more effective the implementation of eco-friendly strategies will be. Ideally, CSR and stakeholder engagement improves organisational earnestness and assures that green projects satisfy societal and market anticipations.
- According to Dynamic Capabilities Theory (Teece, Pisano, and Shuen, 1997), other organisations that are planning to achieve successful outcomes in sustainability need to include green innovation in their strategic planning. Some conceptual results indicate that strategic integration can convert sporadic green activities into ongoing processes that enhance organisational sustainability and long-term environmental, economic and social outcomes.
- The evaluation of available literature indicated that, although there is clear knowledge about the beneficial impact of green innovation on sustainability, questions exist about cross-industrial implementation, how drivers interact, and which indicators can be used to sustain success. In theory, these areas need to be investigated in the future to offer practical lines on how organisations can exploit the maximum benefit of green innovation.

Future Work and Practice Recommendations.

- Green innovation should be pursued as a core component of organisational strategic planning as opposed to a lip-service initiative. This involves the alignment of product and process innovations with long term sustainability targets and competitive strategies.
- Leadership is critical effecting a green innovation culture. Companies need to ensure that leaders embrace sustainability-related initiatives and give leaders the resources they need to adopt green technology.
- Organisations are advised to concentrate on educating staff and supporting employees to engage in green innovation practices. Theoretical analyses have suggested that the effectiveness of green programs is boosted through staff participation.
- Green innovation should be associated with Corporate Social Responsibility initiatives. The stakeholders included suppliers, customers and communities: and can assist organisations in knowing their expectations of sustainability, and the effectiveness of green initiatives.
- Companies are encouraged to substitute environmental regulations actively and take them as a development chance. In principle, the regulatory framework functions as a driver of innovation and assists organisations to sustain their level of sustainability.
- The consistent introduction of environmentally friendly technologies, materials, and the improvement of the process is needed. Theoretical understandings indicate that a research and development spending lead to increased resource utilization and future economic outcomes.
- To transmit knowledge on green innovations, organisations are advised to engage universities and research organisations as well as industry partners in sharing their knowledge and develop authority on green innovations. A sustainable practice and use of innovation can speed up through collaborative networks.
- Conceptual framework and literature synthesis can enable organisations to conduct discursive evaluations of their green innovations approaches occasionally. It is a guarantee of conformity with the environmental, economic and social sustainability targets.
- The principles of the cyclical economy, based on recycling, reuse, and remanufacturing, should be embraced by firms. Theoretical arguments would point toward such practices decreasing resource dependency and functioning as resource replenishment.

Conclusion

Green innovation is a high-profile initiative in the broad organizational sustainability framework that entails environmental, economic, and social dimensions. As much as possible, the launch of environmentally friendly products, processes, and technologies enables companies to reduce their ecological footprint and, in the process, enhance their operating efficiency and competitiveness in the future. Resource-Based View, Porters Hypothesis, Triple Bottom Line and Dynamic Capabilities Theory all give a strong theoretical foundation to describe the mechanisms by which green innovation generates sustainable outcomes. As we have discussed, the eventual success of green innovation implementation is based on a complicated mix of variables, including commitment by management, company culture, employee commitment, compliance with regulation, and cooperation by stakeholders.

Furthermore, the inclusion of green innovation into corporate social responsibility programs enhances the degree of confidence and solidifies the strategic significance of sustainability initiatives among stakeholders. In theory, green innovation is both a mediating and strategic driver in that it forms an alignment between organizational abilities, experiential learning, and sustainability desires at large. These conceptual nuggets highlight the need to ensure organisations embrace an integrative approach that inculcates green innovation into the strategic planning and decision-making processes. With this kind of integration, firms are able to gain a sustained competitive edge, within their regulatory requirements, to meet stakeholder expectations as well as to enhance the greater scope of the environment and society at large.

Further Scope of the Study

Future research can empirically validate the conceptual framework developed in this study, measuring the impact of green product, process, and technological innovations on environmental, economic, and social outcomes. There is also scope for industry-specific investigations, focusing on sectors such as manufacturing, IT, healthcare, and agriculture, to identify sectoral challenges and best practices. The integration of emerging technologies, including Industry 4.0, IoT, AI, and blockchain, offers potential for enhancing the effectiveness of green innovations. Additionally, cross-cultural and geographical studies can explore how organizational culture, regulatory environments, and regional sustainability policies influence the adoption of eco-friendly practices. Further research can also emphasize stakeholder-centric approaches, examining the role of customers, suppliers, investors, and communities in driving green innovation. Longitudinal studies could provide insights into the long-term impact of green innovations on sustainability outcomes.

References

[1] Ahmed, S., Khan, M., & Li, X. (2023). Green innovation's impact on environmental and business performance: Moderating roles of human resources and management support. Journal of Cleaner Production, 395, 136287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136287

[2] Ahmed, Z. (2023). The impact of green innovation on the environmental and economic performance. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 30(28), 7623-7635. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844022039676

[3] Asiedu, E. (2025). Green dynamic capabilities and environmental management among manufacturing companies. Environment, Development and Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01445-w

[4] Asiedu, E. (2025). Green dynamic capabilities and firm performance: The impact of

[5] Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and the management of technology and innovation. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

[6] Bett, H. K. (2024). Green innovation – sustainable development nexus: A systematic review of literature. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 7(9),101-120. https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/articles/nexus-of-green-innovation-and-sustainable-development-a-systematic-review-of-literature/

[7] Chen, H. (2015). Environmental innovation and firm performance in the Chinese manufacturing sector. Sustainability, 7(3), 1500–1518. https://doi.org/10.3390/su7031500

[8] Chen, Y Lai, S Wen, J (2006). The place of green innovation in supply chain management. IJPE 103 (2006) 523–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.08.008

[9] Chen, Y., Lai, S., and Wen, J. Green innovation: The crucial role of supply chain management. International Journal of Production Economics, 103(2), 523–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2005.08.008

[10] Dangelico, R. M., & Pujari, D. (2010). The mainstreaming of green product innovation: Why and how to integrate environmental sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(3), 471-486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0434-0

[11] Dangelico, R. M., and Pujari, D. (2010). Making green product innovation mainstream: Why and How Companies integrate Environmental Sustainability. Journal of Business Ethics, 95(3), 471–486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0434-0

[12] Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: the triple bottom line of 21st century business. Capstone.

[13] Elkington, J. (1997). The world is flat: A brief history of the 21st century. Capstone Publishing.

[14] Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

[15] Geng, R., Mansouri, S. A., & Aktas, E. (2019). The effects of technological and organizational factors on green innovation adoption. Journal of Cleaner Production, 235, 1322-1336. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.07.065

[16] Hakim, L. (2020). Green innovation for organizational sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production, 274, 123069. https://wjarr.com/sites/default/files/WJARR-2024-0405.pdf

[17] Horbach, J. (2008). Factors Determining Eco-Innovation – New Evidence From German Firm Data. Research Policy, 37(4), 662–675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.01.012

[18] Küçükoglu, G., & Pınar, M. (2015). Green organizational culture and environmental performance: The influence of green innovation). European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, 4 (10), pp.75-91. https://www.abacademies.org/articles/green-organizational-culture-and-environmental-performance-the-role-of-green-innovation-13457.html

[19] Lee, S. Y., Park, S. Y., & Choi, D. CSR and firm performance: The mediating role of green innovation. Sustainability, 4(12), 2731–2748. https://doi.org/10.3390/su4122731

[20] Liu, H., Liu, Z. & Feng, Y. (2024). Green innovation and performance: A novel approach to a long-standing issue. Sustainability, 16(6), 2588. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16062588

[21] Liu, J. (2025). Greening innovations for sustainable development: process and recycling up pessimism. Journal of Environmental Management, 347, 118535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.118535

[22] Omonijo, O. F., Chen, Q., & Wang, X. (2025). Green operation innovation, ESG activities and firm's sustainability: The moderating ones. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 41(2), 431. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41599-025-04389-8

[23] Porter, M. E., and van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a new understanding of the relationship between the environment and competitive strategy. Journal of Economic Perspectives. 9(4):97–118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97

[24] Porter, M. E., and van der Linde, C. (1995). Toward a redefinition of the environment-competitiveness relationship. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9 (4), 97–118. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.9.4.97

[25] Qin, T. (2025). Going green: An earth science perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 320, 128837. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/01492063241312656

[26] Schumpeter, J. A. (1934). The theory of economic development. Harvard University Press.

[27] Soewarno, N., Handayani, D., & Indarti, N. (2024). Green innovation and performance of manufacturing firms. Sustainability, 16(4), 2432. https://wsj.westscience-press.com/index.php/wsbm/article/view/1150

[28] Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. P. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509–533. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:73.0.CO;2-Z

[29] Teece, D.J., Pisano, G. and Shuen A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. Strategic Management Journal, 18(7), 509–533. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199708)18:73.0.CO;2-Z

[30] Tulasi Das V, Sreedhar Reddy B (2016). Employee perception towards Green HRM: An investigative study. *EPRA International Journal of Economics and Business Review*, ISSN: 2349- 0187, Volume -4, Issue.9, Sep 2016, Pp 101-112.

[31] Tulasi Das V, Sreedhar Reddy B (2017). Green HRM Changing Paradigm: An Emerging Need of the hour for Entrepreneur Development. *International Journal of Applied Services Marketing Practices*, ISSN: 2279- 0977, Volume -6, Issue.2, April- June 2017, Pp2880-2891.

[32] Tulasi Das V, Sreelakshmi A (2021). Factors Contributing Green Human Resource Management: With Reference to Rashtriya Ispat Nigam Ltd., Vishakhapatnam, A.P.. India. United International Journal for Research & Technology, ISSN: 2582-6832, Volume - 2, Issue - 9, July, 2021, Pp. 84-89.

[33] Wang, S. (2021). Mak, YTC: Green innovation activities and performance: A survey Green innovation practices and sustainable growth: Evidence from multinational corporations Based on the results of empirical studies on R744 in Japan. Sustainability, 13(15), 8609. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7848084/

*****